

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/003,812	01/07/1998	SATOSHI BAN	041-1987 9498	
7590 01/29/2004			EXAMINER	
ISRAEL GOPSTEIN			GRIER, LAURA A	
CLARK & BRODY 1750 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600			2644	2.1
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/003,812	BAN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura A Grier	2644
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		ing date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:	•	
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:	1	AINSUN OH HARVEY
		· AND NAMES II

Continuation of 5. Other: In regards the applicant arguments that the prior office action (Final Rejection) did not address the amended claim language, the examiner maintains that the prior art of record and the office action defended and/or disclosed the amended claim language of claim 8: "said electroacoustical transducer connected for receiving an audio signal provided by said first plug". As indicated i the office action, Young's disclosure inherently teaches the connection of a first plug and a second plug connectable between an audio device and portable communication device, respectively (figures 1-3 and col. 2, line 2, lines 45-49); a speaker (44) which is indicative of an electroacoustical transducer connected to the first and second plug for receiving an audio signal. And in respect to the applicant's xxargument of the amended claim language: line 15 of claim 8, regarding the disconnection of the audio signal provided by said first plug

Further in respect to "the disconnection of the audio signal from the first plug, the applicant inidicates that the reference of Poco fails to disclose such a teaching by the fact that Poco only discloses the disconnection of power from the audio circuit. It is obvioust that once the power is disconnected for a particular circuit and/or function then there is a disconnection of an audio signal to a plug. Further clarification of the Poco reference as combined with Young, Poco was used to provided for the significance of teaching automatic disconnection between an audio device and a portable communciation device being used in the same system.

from the electroacoustical transducer. Young, III provides teachings of the control box as means for generating a detection signal of the

telephone, and for disconnecting the first plug and connecting the first plug (col. 4, lines 39-41, 64-67, and col. 5, lines 1-7).